Review

Neuroscience

A Comprehensive Review on the Role of Obesity in Functional Cognition (Part III)

Risk Factors and Studying Challenges

Ronnie Callaghan*

University of Central Oklahoma, 100 N University Dr, Edmond, OK 73034, USA
*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Ronnie Callaghan.
Author's Contact: Ronnie Callaghan, Ph.D., E-mail: roncallaghan@yahoo.com
DOI: https://doi.org/10.15354/si.23.re709
Funding: No funding source declared.
COI: The author declares no competing interest.

Gaining a comprehensive understanding of the risk factors, devising efficacious therapies, and tackling the methodological obstacles inherent in investigating the cognitive consequences of obesity are imperative undertakings in both clinical and research contexts. The complex interaction between metabolic, inflammatory, and lifestyle factors highlights the necessity of adopting a holistic strategy to address cognitive impairments in patients with obesity. The resolution of methodological obstacles, including ethical concerns and the identification of suitable evaluation instruments, is crucial for the progression of our understanding in this domain and the enhancement of cognitive well-being among individuals impacted by obesity.

Keywords: Obesity; Cognition; Risk Factors; Interventions; Challenges

Science Insights, 2023 September 30; Vol. 43, No. 3, pp.1059-1071.

THE INVESTIGATION of the influence of obesity on cognitive function is a multifaceted undertaking, encompassing the analysis of several factors that contribute to its occurrence, the formulation of specific therapies aimed at addressing this issue, and the careful evaluation of numerous methodological obstacles that may arise during the research process. It is of utmost importance to identify risk variables that are connected with cognitive deterioration due to obesity (1). The presence of obesity is frequently associated with co-occurring medical disorders, including Type 2 Diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia. These individual illnesses have the potential to individually contribute to cognitive impairment. Furthermore, it is worth noting that persons who suffer from obesity often exhibit lifestyle characteristics such as prolonged periods of physical inactivity and unhealthy eating patterns, which have the potential to worsen cognitive impairments. The influence of genetic predisposition and socioeconomic factors is particularly noteworthy, hence adding complexity to the evaluation of risk.

A multidisciplinary approach is crucial in the development of therapies aimed at addressing cognitive impairment associated with obesity. There is evidence to suggest that making changes to one's lifestyle, such as implementing dietary and exercise programs, may be effective in reducing the cognitive impairments associated with obesity (2). These interventions aim to not only address weight loss but also target the metabolic,

inflammatory, and vascular variables that contribute to cognitive impairment. In addition, there has been research conducted on pharmacological interventions aimed at addressing insulin resistance and inflammation. However, additional examination is needed to determine their effectiveness and safety profiles.

Nevertheless, conducting research on the influence of obesity on cognitive function poses intrinsic difficulties. Longitudinal research designs are necessary in order to identify causal links and ascertain the temporal sequence of cognitive decline in individuals who are affected by obesity (3). In the context of study protocols, it is imperative to give due attention to ethical considerations, namely the potential stigmatization that may arise towards those who are fat. In addition, it is crucial to carefully choose cognitive evaluations that are suitable for the study and to consider any confounding variables in order to maintain the reliability and validity of the research results. Furthermore, due to the diverse nature of obesity and its associated health conditions, it is imperative to identify specific subpopulations who may have a higher vulnerability to cognitive impairment.

Modifiable Risk Factors and Interventions

Lifestyle Interventions

Lifestyle therapies encompass a comprehensive strategy aimed at mitigating cognitive impairment associated with obesity by targeting modifiable risk factors that are linked to both illnesses. Typically, these interventions comprise alterations to one's food habits, an increase in physical activity levels, measures for managing weight, and the encouragement of behaviors that contribute to general health. The function of nutrition is of significant importance, as seen by the frequent recommendation of the Mediterranean and DASH (Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension) diets for their possible cognitive advantages (4). These dietary patterns prioritize the intake of fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and lean proteins, while simultaneously lowering the consumption of saturated fats and added sweets. This dietary approach has been shown to potentially provide neuroprotective benefits.

In addition, lifestyle interventions promote the adoption of consistent physical activity, as research has established a correlation between exercise and enhanced cognitive function, as well as a decrease in inflammation associated with obesity. Engaging in physical activity has been shown to have a positive impact on brain health through various mechanisms, including the promotion of neuroplasticity, augmentation of cerebral blood flow, and mitigation of oxidative stress (5). Weight management is an essential topic to consider, as disorders related to obesity, such as insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, and hypertension, have been identified as risk factors for cognitive impairment. Weight loss strategies that have proven to be effective, such as bariatric surgery or behaviorally based techniques, have been shown to result in positive changes in metabolic parameters (6). Consequently, these improvements in metabolic parameters have been associated with enhanced cognitive function.

An increasing amount of scholarly research provides evidence for the potential efficacy of lifestyle therapies in ameliorating cognitive impairment associated with obesity. Longitudinal studies, such as the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study (7) and the Cardiovascular Risk Factors, Aging, and Dementia (CAIDE) study (8), have provided evidence indicating that implementing lifestyle modifications targeting weight loss and enhanced metabolic health can yield substantial reductions in the likelihood of cognitive impairment among individuals with obesity. The aforementioned research emphasizes the long-lasting effects of lifestyle interventions, indicating that maintaining positive changes in eating patterns and levels of physical activity could potentially serve as a safeguard against cognitive deterioration in the long run.

In addition, recent findings from randomized controlled trials suggest that lifestyle therapies have the potential to yield cognitive advantages in those who are affected by obesity. The U.S. Diabetes Prevention Program, for example, documented enhancements in cognitive performance among individuals who achieved weight loss through lifestyle modifications (9). In the similar, the FINGER trial, also known as the Finnish Geriatric Intervention Study to Prevent Cognitive Impairment and Disability, provided evidence that a comprehensive intervention encompassing multiple domains such as diet, physical activity, cognitive training, and vascular risk management yielded favorable outcomes in terms of cognitive function among individuals at risk (10).

Diet and Nutrition

In recent years, there has been a significant focus on the impact of food habits on cognitive health. Numerous epidemiological studies have provided evidence of an association between distinct eating patterns and the likelihood of cognitive impairment, particularly emphasizing the Mediterranean and DASH diets (4, 11). The aforementioned dietary patterns are distinguished by a substantial consumption of fruits, vegetables, whole grains, lean sources of protein, and unsaturated fats, while simultaneously limiting the intake of saturated fats, red meat, and added sugars. It is widely considered that these specific dietary patterns have the potential to provide neuroprotective benefits by mitigating inflammation, oxidative stress, and vascular dysfunction, all of which are closely linked to the cognitive deterioration observed in individuals with obesity.

Micronutrients, such as vitamins and minerals, are essential for maintaining cognitive function and reducing cognitive decline associated with obesity. Cognitive impairment and dementia have been linked to deficiencies in crucial nutrients such as vitamin D, B vitamins, and omega-3 fatty acids. The dietary patterns associated with obesity frequently exhibit a deficiency in essential micronutrients, consequently playing a role in the susceptibility to cognitive impairment (12). The proper consumption of these nutrients is crucial for cognitive health due to their involvement in many neuroprotective processes, including neurotransmitter production, inflammation management, and neuronal integrity maintenance.

Chronic low-grade inflammation is a crucial process that establishes a connection between diet, obesity, and cognitive deterioration. Obesity is distinguished by an elevation in adipose tissue, which releases pro-inflammatory cytokines, resulting in a state of systemic inflammation. The presence of an inflammatory condition can potentially have adverse consequences on the brain, leading to disturbances in neuronal activity and facilitat-

ing a loss in cognitive abilities. Consuming dietary patterns that are abundant in foods with anti-inflammatory properties, such as fruits, vegetables, and omega-3 fatty acids, has the ability to alleviate inflammation and potentially safeguard against cognitive impairment associated with obesity (13).

A further factor pertaining to the relationship between food and cognitive deterioration associated with obesity is the consumption of calories. Obesity frequently arises as a consequence of an overabundance of caloric intake, which subsequently contributes to an increase in body weight and disruptions in metabolic functioning. According to existing research, it has been shown that the implementation of calorie restriction and intermittent fasting could potentially yield neuroprotective outcomes and contribute to the enhancement of cognitive well-being (14). These effects are believed to be achieved through the reduction of oxidative stress, the facilitation of autophagy, and the augmentation of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) synthesis. BDNF is known to have a significant impact on neuronal plasticity and cognitive function. It has been shown that a decrease in BDNF levels is associated with obesity (15).

Physical Activity and Exercise

The impact of physical activity and exercise on cognitive performance is modulated by a variety of complex mechanisms. Research has demonstrated that engaging in regular physical activity has the potential to boost cardiovascular health, optimize cerebral blood flow, and facilitate neuroplasticity, all of which collectively contribute to the development of cognitive resilience (16). Furthermore, physical activity has the potential to induce the secretion of neurotrophic factors, including BDNF, which promotes the proliferation and viability of neurons, therefore augmenting cognitive abilities. In addition, engaging in physical activity has been shown to decrease systemic inflammation, a condition that is frequently heightened in individuals with obesity and has been associated with cognitive decline (17).

Numerous longitudinal studies have consistently provided evidence of the moderating influence of physical activity and exercise on cognitive impairment associated with obesity. Individuals who participate in consistent physical activity, while being obese, generally demonstrate superior cognitive outcomes compared to individuals who lead a sedentary lifestyle (18). An investigation conducted by Shao et al. revealed that obese people who participated in consistent physical activity exhibited enhanced executive function in comparison to sedentary obese persons (19). This finding implies that engaging in physical activity may mitigate the adverse cognitive consequences associated with obesity, hence potentially safeguarding cognitive functioning.

Several exercise regimens have been investigated for their cognitive advantages within the framework of obesity. Aerobic exercise, which encompasses physical activities that induce an elevation in heart rate and respiratory rate, such as jogging and cycling, has received considerable attention in scientific research. There is evidence to suggest that aerobic exercise holds potential for enhancing memory, attention, and executive function in those who are classified as obese (20). Resistance training, a form of exercise that utilizes resistance to promote muscle strength, has been linked to improvements in cognitive function, specifically in activities that demand working memory and attention (21).

Moreover, recent findings indicate that integrated exercise regimens, encompassing both aerobic and resistance training elements, may provide the most extensive cognitive advantages for patients with obesity (22). These therapies have the potential to enhance both cardiovascular health and muscle strength, thereby offering a comprehensive strategy for addressing cognitive decline associated with obesity.

Bariatric Surgery and Cognitive Outcomes

There are multiple pathways that could potentially contribute to the cognitive alterations that are found subsequent to bariatric surgery. First and foremost, a notable reduction in body weight serves as a fundamental catalyst for these cognitive enhancements. The condition of obesity has been found to be linked with chronic inflammation, insulin resistance, and hormone dysregulation, all of which have been observed to have detrimental effects on cognitive function. The weight loss resulting from bariatric surgery has been found to have several positive effects on the body, including decreases in inflammation, increased insulin sensitivity, and hormonal alterations (23). These physiological changes have the potential to collectively contribute to improvements in cognitive function.

A further plausible explanation pertains to modifications in the signaling between the gastrointestinal system and the central nervous system. Bariatric interventions, such as the Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) and sleeve gastrectomy, induce alterations in both the gastrointestinal anatomy and hormone secretion (24). These modifications have the potential to impact the gut-brain axis, a fundamental component in the regulation of appetite and metabolism. The gut-brain axis is also associated with cognitive processes, and changes in this axis during surgery may have an indirect effect on cognitive results.

Recent research findings indicate that there is a growing body of data supporting the notion that bariatric surgery is linked to enhancements in cognitive functioning across several domains (25). However, the precise magnitude and specificity of these effects are still being explored and remain subject to further exploration. Miller and colleagues showed that the enhancements in attention, memory, and executive function that have been observed subsequent to bariatric surgery (26). Although there is promise in the field of cognitive enhancers, it is crucial to acknowledge that not all research have consistently yielded beneficial cognitive outcomes, and the extent of improvement can vary across individuals.

In addition, it is important to consider that the timing of cognitive evaluations following surgery can have an impact on the reported outcomes. Several investigations have documented cognitive enhancements occurring within the initial months following surgical intervention, potentially because to the expeditious reduction in body weight and associated metabolic alterations (27). Nevertheless, the enduring cognitive consequences of bariatric surgery continue to be a subject of continuous investigation, given that several studies have suggested that cognitive advantages may reach a point of stability or diminish as time

progresses.

The clinical implications of bariatric surgery are substantial due to the possible cognitive improvements it may offer. The cognitive function is a fundamental element of general well-being and the standard of living, and any intervention that can have a favorable influence on cognition is a subject of significant attention. Bariatric surgery, as a therapeutic intervention for patients with severe obesity, has the potential to provide not just improvements in weight-related health outcomes but also cognitive upgrades. These cognitive benefits may be particularly pertinent for individuals who have cognitive deficits associated with obesity.

Subsequent investigations in this domain have to prioritize the clarification of the mechanisms that underlie the cognitive alterations seen, as well as the identification of the variables that can predict the extent and durability of cognitive enhancements following surgical procedures. Furthermore, it is imperative to do further research including extended observation periods in order to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the progression of cognitive outcomes over an extended duration. This will also aid in the identification of probable factors that may contribute to cognitive deterioration subsequent to bariatric surgery.

Pharmacological Interventions and Their Effects on Cognition

The cognitive effects of pharmacological therapies are characterized by a wide range of mechanisms that are complex and varied. Various categories of pharmaceuticals specifically focus on the central nervous system, exerting their effects on neurotransmitter systems and neural pathways that are fundamental to cognitive functions. Psychotropic drugs, such as antidepressants and antipsychotics, exert their effects by modulating neurotransmitters, including serotonin, dopamine, and norepinephrine (28). These neurotransmitters are known to have significant involvement in the regulation of mood and cognitive function.

Furthermore, pharmaceutical substances employed in the treatment of persistent medical problems, such as hypertension, diabetes, or epilepsy, have the potential to impact cognitive function indirectly through their effects on vascular health, glucose metabolism, or neuronal excitability. In addition, pharmaceutical interventions aimed at mitigating pain, such as opioids and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), have the potential to affect cognitive performance through their ability to modulate pain perception and regulate the production of inflammatory mediators, which in turn can have an impact on neuronal signaling (29).

The influence of pharmacological interventions on cognition is a multifaceted and intricate phenomenon, exhibiting both positive and negative effects across different categories of medications. Psychotropic drugs have been found to possess the capacity for cognitive adverse effects, such as drowsiness, reduced attention, and memory difficulties (30). Nevertheless, these medications are also commonly administered with the intention of improving cognitive function in individuals diagnosed with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) or severe depressive disorder.

On the other hand, pharmaceutical interventions aimed at treating chronic medical diseases may have varied cognitive outcomes. Certain hypertension drugs, such as beta-blockers, have been linked to cognitive deficits, whereas others, such as angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), may have neuroprotective properties (31). Diabetes drugs, such as metformin, have demonstrated promising effects on cognitive performance through the enhancement of insulin sensitivity and mitigation of inflammatory processes (32). Furthermore, some research studies have investigated the cognitive advantages associated with the use of drugs such as statins for the purpose of treating risk factors related to cardiovascular health (33).

The clinical implications of pharmaceutical therapies on cognition are substantial. Healthcare practitioners must exercise caution in assessing the potential cognitive adverse effects associated with drug prescriptions, particularly in susceptible populations such as older adults, who may exhibit heightened vulnerability to cognitive impairments. Moreover, the cognitive advantages or potential hazards linked to a specific medicine can have an impact on the choices made regarding treatment, particularly in cases of chronic illnesses that require prolonged pharmaceutical usage (34).

The clinical problem lies in achieving a balance between the potential cognitive consequences of medications and their therapeutic benefits. Healthcare professionals are needed to carefully consider the potential risks and advantages associated with different treatment options, while also tailoring these programs to suit the specific medical background, age, and cognitive condition of each patient. In addition, it is imperative to engage in the continuous monitoring of cognitive function during the course of pharmacological therapy in order to swiftly identify and address any potential negative cognitive impacts.

Cognitive Training and Obesity

Cognitive training is based on the principle of neuroplasticity, which refers to the brain's capacity to modify its structure and function in reaction to educational and experiential stimuli. Neuroplasticity serves as the foundation for cognitive training interventions, which aim to leverage the brain's inherent ability to develop and adapt. Cognitive training generally encompasses organized exercises or activities that are designed to specifically target various cognitive domains, including but not limited to memory, attention, and problem-solving abilities (35). The purpose of these interventions is to bolster neural networks, augment cognitive performance, and maybe mitigate cognitive decline linked to diverse health disorders, such as obesity.

Recent studies indicate that cognitive training exhibits potential as a strategy to enhance cognitive function in persons who are affected by obesity (36). Szabo-Reed et al. (2011) conducted a study which provided evidence that cognitive training programs focusing on executive functions, such as working memory and cognitive flexibility, resulted in notable enhancements among those who were classified as obese (37). The observed cognitive advantages were shown to be associated with favorable alterations in behavioral outcomes. These included a decrease in impulsive eating tendencies and an enhancement in dietary choices. These findings imply that cognitive training has the potential to impact behaviors related to obesity and the decision-making processes involved.

Moreover, there has been an examination of cognitive training interventions within the framework of weight loss programs, in which they function as supplementary approaches to target cognitive elements that contribute to obesity. Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) is an intervention that integrates cognitive training approaches in order to target maladaptive thinking patterns and behaviors associated with excessive food consumption and a sedentary lifestyle (38). Research findings indicate that therapies based on CBT have demonstrated notable efficacy in facilitating substantial weight reduction and enhancing cognitive aspects such as self-regulation and emotional control.

The utilization of cognitive training in the treatment of obesity holds significant clinical ramifications. Obesity is linked to cognitive deficits that might impede individuals' capacity to adhere to weight management techniques, sustain appropriate dietary practices, and participate in consistent physical exercise (39). Through the implementation of certain interventions, healthcare practitioners have the potential to ameliorate cognitive deficiencies, so augmenting the efficacy of obesity therapy and ultimately enhancing the overall well-being of patients.

Nevertheless, it is crucial to acknowledge that the effectiveness of cognitive training in relation to obesity is still an area of active investigation. The precise cognitive areas that should be focused on, as well as the most advantageous timing and duration of these interventions, have yet to be fully understood. Furthermore, it is imperative to consider the individual heterogeneity in response to interventions aimed at cognitive training, since it cannot be assumed that all individuals would exhibit identical levels of cognitive enhancement.

Challenges in Studying Obesity and Cognition

Methodological Issues

Selection Bias

Selection bias can arise from multiple factors in research studies examining the relationship between obesity and cognition. A prevalent factor is to the recruitment of study participants from particular demographics or contexts, which may lack representativeness of the wider population. For instance, research conducted solely within clinical settings or weight loss clinics may involve individuals who exhibit higher levels of motivation to address their obesity, which could potentially result in an inflated estimation of the cognitive impacts of obesity throughout the broader population.

Selection bias can also be attributed to the discrepancy in participation rates among persons with different levels of obesity. Individuals exhibiting extreme obesity may exhibit reduced likelihood of engagement in research projects as a result of various variables, including but not limited to societal stigma, restricted physical mobility, and the presence of concurrent health issues (40). As a result, it is possible that studies may exhibit a bias towards persons who have less severe obesity, which could lead to an inadequate representation of the cognitive difficulties experienced by individuals with severe obesity.

The presence of selection bias can significantly impact the credibility and applicability of research findings within the realm of obesity and cognition (41). If some demographics are consistently marginalized or inadequately represented in research studies, the reported correlations may not effectively depict the genuine connection between obesity and cognitive function. Consequently, the inferences derived from these investigations may be deceptive or potentially flawed.

In addition, the presence of selection bias has the potential to undermine the external validity of research outcomes, hence constraining their generalizability to wider populations (42). The issue at hand is particularly worrisome within the realm of obesity, as the cognitive implications may differ among individuals with varying levels of obesity, socioeconomic statuses, or cultural environments. Mischaracterizing the cognitive impacts of obesity may impede the advancement of efficacious therapies and public health measures aimed at tackling this worldwide health issue.

In order to address the issue of selection bias in investigations pertaining to the relationship between obesity and cognition, it is imperative for researchers to implement meticulous study design and recruitment methodologies. The utilization of random sampling techniques from well-defined groups can effectively contribute to the establishment of representative study samples and mitigate the potential for selection bias (43, 44). In order to mitigate the influence of selection bias, it is imperative to prioritize endeavors aimed at optimizing participation rates, particularly within marginalized populations. These endeavors may encompass activities such as engaging with the community, conducting outreach initiatives, and offering assistance and accommodations to enable the involvement of those facing significant obesity or other potential obstacles.

In addition, it is imperative to perform sensitivity analyses in order to evaluate the potential influence of selection bias on the findings of the study (45). Through the process of comparing findings across several subgroups and employing statistical adjustments, researchers are able to obtain valuable information regarding the reliability of their conclusions and the potential extent of bias.

Confounding Factors

Confounding factors refer to other variables that are correlated with both the exposure (obesity) and the outcome (cognitive function) under investigation. Failure to fully account for these factors may result in misleading conclusions. The existence of comorbid health disorders is a significant factor contributing to confounding in research investigating the relationship between obesity and cognition. The presence of obesity frequently accompanies many chronic illnesses, such as diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease. These disorders have the potential to exert separate effects on cognitive function and may exhibit shared risk factors or pathways with both obesity and cognitive impairment. The failure to consider these comorbidities may introduce confounding factors into the association between obesity and cognition, resulting in erroneous inferences about the direct impact of obesity on cognitive performance. Therefore, it is imperative for researchers to thoroughly evaluate and manage the existence of comorbidities by employing suitable statistical methodologies or study designs.

Socioeconomic characteristics constitute an additional

noteworthy confounding variable in the examination of the relationship between obesity and cognition (46). The prevalence of obesity and cognitive function are influenced by socioeconomic status (SES). Individuals belonging to lower SES are at a heightened risk of obesity and may also encounter limited availability of educational and healthcare facilities, hence potentially affecting cognitive development and maintenance (47). When examining the association between obesity and cognition, the omission of SES as a variable can result in distorted findings. In order to account for potential confounding, researchers should consider the SES and utilize appropriate statistical methods, such as propensity score matching or stratification, to minimize its impact on the results of the study.

Psychosocial variables, such as sadness, stress, and social isolation, may serve as confounding factors in the association between obesity and cognitive function. These factors frequently coincide with obesity and have the potential to independently impact cognitive performance. For example, there exists a correlation between depression and cognitive impairments, while the cognitive impairments observed in individuals with obesity may be influenced by the psychosocial stress associated with this condition (48). Failure to consider these psychosocial aspects may introduce confounding into the apparent correlation between obesity and cognition, resulting in false relationships. It is imperative for researchers to incorporate evaluations of psychosocial factors into their study designs and statistical analyses in order to appropriately address the potential confounding influence of these elements.

The implementation of effective strategies aimed at minimizing the influence of confounding variables in investigations pertaining to the association between obesity and cognition is of importance in furthering our comprehension of this intricate correlation. The methodologies encompassed in this study are the meticulous selection of participants, the use of rigorous statistical modeling techniques, and the utilization of sensitivity analyses to evaluate the resilience of study results in the face of potential confounding factors. Moreover, the use of subgroup analysis and the investigation of effect modification can yield valuable insights into the potential impact of particular populations or conditions on the association between obesity and cognition. Through a systematic approach to removing confounding factors, researchers are able to improve the validity and reliability of their findings, so ensuring an accurate elucidation of the true nature of the association between obesity and cognition.

Longitudinal versus Cross-Sectional Studies

Longitudinal and cross-sectional studies are two independent methodological approaches employed in this particular setting, each presenting its own set of advantages and limits. Longitudinal studies, which involve the repeated measurement of the same individuals over a prolonged duration (49), are very suitable for investigating the temporal dimensions of the association between obesity and cognition. These studies enable researchers to monitor longitudinal changes in cognitive function within people and investigate the temporal relationship between obesity and cognitive deterioration. Longitudinal designs facilitate the establishment of causal linkages and offer valuable insights into the developmental patterns of cognitive deterioration among individuals with obesity.

Longitudinal studies possess a notable benefit in their capacity to capture and analyze individual variability and temporal changes. Researchers have the ability to examine variations in cognitive function within individuals that are linked to changes in obesity status. This enables them to distinguish the impact of obesity from other factors that might affect cognitive performance. Nevertheless, longitudinal studies provide a number of obstacles. Significant time and resources are necessary for these endeavors, as it is imperative to track individuals for an extended duration, frequently including years or even decades. The attrition rates pose a potential risk in research studies, as there is a possibility of participants discontinuing their involvement or being lost during the follow-up period. This occurrence might potentially introduce selection bias, which is a distortion in the study's findings. Furthermore, it is imperative to thoroughly contemplate and tackle the potential confounding risk arising from unmeasured variables that exhibit temporal variability during the investigation.

In contrast, cross-sectional studies entail the evaluation of distinct individuals at a singular moment, offering a momentary depiction of the association between obesity and cognition within a particular community. Cross-sectional investigations are comparatively more cost-effective and require less time compared to longitudinal designs, rendering them appealing to researchers aiming to promptly address inquiries (50). Cross-sectional studies possess significant value in the generation of hypotheses and the identification of connections between obesity and cognitive function. These tools are especially valuable for assessing the frequency of cognitive impairment in individuals with obesity at a certain moment, providing insights into the current state of cognitive function in this group. However, it is important to acknowledge that cross-sectional research possess inherent limitations. The researchers are unable to demonstrate a causal relationship or ascertain the directionality of the observed relationships. Therefore, whereas cross-sectional studies have the ability to establish associations between obesity and cognition, they are unable to determine the causal directionality of the relationship. It remains uncertain if obesity contributes to cognitive decline, cognitive decline increases the likelihood of obesity, or if both factors are influenced by additional underlying characteristics. This constraint restricts the capacity to make inferences on causality.

Ethical Considerations

Due to the delicate nature of obesity as a health problem and its potential cognitive implications, it is imperative to strictly adhere to ethical rules and principles while designing, conducting, and reporting studies in this field.

Privacy and Confidentiality: Ensuring the privacy and confidentiality of research participants is of utmost importance in studies pertaining to obesity and cognition. Individuals who are affected by obesity may already encounter stigmatization and prejudice, and their inclination to engage in research activities may depend on the provision of guarantees about the confidentiality of their personal information (51). It is crucial for researchers to implement strategies aimed at anonymizing data, safeguarding electronic records, and guaranteeing the

non-disclosure of personally identifying information in research reports or publications. The assessment of data security methods within ethical approval processes is critical in order to effectively protect the privacy of participants.

Informed Consent: The concept of informed consent holds significant ethical importance in research that involves human subjects. It is essential that individuals who are enlisted as participants in studies pertaining to obesity and cognition are furnished with unambiguous and thorough details on the research aims, methodologies, potential hazards, and advantages (52). Considering the cognitive elements at play, it is pivotal for participants to have an awareness of the inherent nature of cognitive assessments and the possibility of experiencing emotional or psychological distress. In order to enhance comprehension, researchers ought to utilize clear and culturally appropriate communication methods, while ensuring that participants retain the prerogative to discontinue their involvement in the study without facing any negative repercussions.

Avoiding Stigmatization: Obesity is a medical disease that is accompanied by significant social stigma, leading to the experience of discrimination and prejudice by persons affected by this condition. It is important to ensure that ethical research on the relationship between obesity and cognition is carried out in a manner that prevents the reinforcement or exacerbation of societal stigma associated with obesity (53). It is recommended that researchers employ impartial language and present obesity as a multifaceted health concern rather than a personal failing. Furthermore, it is important to consider the potential negative effects of using stigmatizing language and images when reporting study findings. Therefore, it is crucial to explain the results in a manner that is both sensitive and responsible.

Equity and Inclusion: Equity and inclusion are crucial considerations in ethical studies pertaining to obesity and cognition. This entails doing research that encompasses a wide range of persons from diverse racial, ethnic, socioeconomic, and cultural backgrounds, in order to accurately represent the population (54). The omission of various groups might lead to findings that are biased or incomplete, hence limiting their generalizability. It is imperative for researchers to proactively engage in the recruitment of participants from marginalized populations and modify study protocols to accommodate a range of requirements and preferences.

Benefit and Non-Maleficence: The ethical conduct of research necessitates the prioritization of participant well-being, namely through the principles of benefit and non-maleficence (55). Although cognitive tests and interventions have the potential to yield positive outcomes, it is imperative for researchers to thoroughly evaluate the advantages in relation to the potential hazards and burdens imposed on participants. The principle of non-maleficence prescribes that researchers must strive to minimize harm and emphasize the safety and well-being of participants. In addition, it is imperative to properly evaluate the possible impact of interventions, such as lifestyle adjustments or pharmaceutical therapies, on both obesity and cognition in the context of the study. Special attention should be given to safeguarding the safety of the participants.

Interdisciplinary Approaches to Research

Effectively addressing the intricate matter between obesity and cognition necessitates the use of multidisciplinary methodologies that incorporate insights from a wide range of academic disciplines and areas of expertise. The phenomena of obesity and cognition are intricately connected, encompassing several elements such as physiological, psychological, social, and environmental influences. Hence, it is necessary to acknowledge that no singular academic field can comprehensively encapsulate the intricacies inherent in this interconnection. Interdisciplinary research facilitates the collaboration of professionals from several domains, including medicine, psychology, neurology, nutrition, public health, sociology, and epidemiology, in order to conduct complete investigations. These collaborative efforts facilitate the development of a comprehensive viewpoint that considers the various biological, behavioral, environmental, and sociocultural elements that impact both obesity and cognitive performance (56).

The ability to address multifactorial causality is considered one of the key advantages of multidisciplinary research in this particular situation. Obesity does not exclusively arise from food habits or heredity, and cognitive function is not completely dictated by brain shape or neurochemistry. Researchers can gain a comprehensive understanding of the complex interactions between obesity and cognition by incorporating knowledge and approaches from several disciplines. This interdisciplinary approach allows for an in-depth examination of the reciprocal correlations between these elements, thereby providing valuable insights (57). For example, the integration of neuroimaging techniques derived from neuroscience with behavioral tests derived from psychology could potentially reveal the brain pathways that underlie cognitive impairments associated with obesity.

Furthermore, the utilization of multidisciplinary methodologies facilitates the creation of multiple interventions that thoroughly tackle the issues of obesity and cognitive function. Interventions formulated by interdisciplinary teams have the capacity to integrate dietary adjustments, physical activity interventions, cognitive training programs, and psychosocial support, considering the interrelatedness of these elements (58). Holistic therapies possess the capacity to provide more significant and enduring impacts on both the management of obesity and cognitive outcomes.

Nevertheless, the pursuit of transdisciplinary research presents certain problems. The successful collaboration among several disciplines necessitates proficient communication and the capacity to connect disparate knowledge sets. In order to foster a collaborative and interdisciplinary research environment, it is imperative for researchers to have an open mindset and actively seek knowledge from colleagues possessing diverse areas of expertise. By doing so, researchers may establish a shared foundation upon which research questions can be formulated and findings can be interpreted. Furthermore, the acquisition of funds and allocation of resources for multidisciplinary studies can pose difficulties, given that conventional funding systems and academic frameworks may not readily accommodate and facilitate such collaborative endeavors.

Future Directions and Research Gaps

Promising Areas of Study

The investigation into the complex correlation between obesity and cognitive function is an ongoing process, which has resulted in the discovery of interesting research topics that have the capacity to enhance our comprehension of this diverse association.

Neuroinflammation and Obesity-Associated Cognitive Impairments: Recent studies have brought attention to the significance of neuroinflammation in the cognitive impairments reported in persons affected by obesity. The examination of the inflammatory pathways inside the brain and their influence on neural function presents a highly auspicious field of research. The investigation into the impact of inflammation associated with obesity on cognitive functions, such as memory and executive function, has the potential to uncover viable strategies for therapeutic interventions (59). These therapies could include the use of anti-inflammatory medications or lifestyle modifications aimed at reducing systemic inflammation.

Gut Microbiota and Brain Health: The investigation of the gut-brain axis is a promising area of study within the realm of obesity and cognitive function. The relationship between cognitive performance and the composition of the gut microbiota has been established, with eating choices and obesity serving as influential factors (60). The examination of the reciprocal interaction between the gastrointestinal system and the central nervous system has the potential to yield valuable knowledge regarding the impact of changes in the microbiome on cognitive deterioration in individuals with obesity. The potential of probiotics, prebiotics, and nutritional therapies to manipulate the gut microbiota shows promise in maintaining cognitive function among persons with obesity.

Bariatric Surgery and Cognitive Outcomes: The rising prevalence of bariatric surgery as a therapeutic intervention for individuals with severe obesity has necessitated a deeper comprehension of its impact on cognitive abilities. Longitudinal research investigating cognitive alterations prior to and following bariatric surgery provide useful insights into the potential cognitive advantages or disadvantages associated with these medical interventions (61). The examination of the mechanisms through which weight reduction surgery impacts brain function and cognition holds the potential to inform therapeutic decision-making and enhance patient care.

Early-Life Obesity and Cognitive Development: The issue of childhood obesity is becoming recognized as a significant concern, prompting a need for further exploration into its potential effects on cognitive development over the long term. Research investigating the impact of obesity during early stages of life on cognitive development, encompassing academic achievement and cognitive abilities in later adulthood, can provide valuable insights for public health interventions targeting the prevention of childhood obesity and the amelioration of its cognitive implications (62).

Interplay of Obesity, Metabolic Factors, and Cognitive Resilience: The investigation of the determinants that facilitate cognitive resilience among adults with obesity has become a topic of growing scholarly attention. The identification of metabolic and lifestyle parameters that could confer cognitive protection among obese patients may facilitate the development of precise therapies (63). Examining individuals who exhibit cognitive health despite being obese can provide valuable insights into the mechanisms that safeguard cognitive function and offer prospective techniques for preserving cognitive abilities.

Personalized Approaches to Obesity Management and Cognitive Function: Personalized medicine methods, including genetic screening, may offer promising options for adapting obesity control strategies to individual cognitive profiles (64). The comprehension of the interplay between hereditary variables and obesity in relation to cognitive outcomes might inform the creation of tailored therapies aimed at optimizing both weight management and cognitive function.

Need for Long-Term Studies

The significance of doing long-term studies to fully comprehend the complex relationship between obesity and cognition is becoming increasingly acknowledged, despite the excellent insights provided by cross-sectional and short-term studies.

The primary importance lies in conducting longitudinal studies to elucidate the patterns of cognitive decline in patients with obesity over an extended period of time. Cognitive decline typically manifests as a gradual and protracted progression that spans several years, or in some cases, even spans decades (65). The limited duration of short-term research may result in the oversight of these nuanced alterations, hence resulting in inadequate or imprecise evaluations of the cognitive implications associated with obesity. Longitudinal studies, which involve the observation of individuals over extended periods of time, enable researchers to document the inherent progression of cognitive deterioration that is linked to obesity. This approach provides a more accurate and detailed understanding of the association between these two factors.

In addition, the extended period of long-term studies allows for the investigation of potential causal connections between obesity and cognitive deterioration. Although cross-sectional studies have the ability to uncover connections, they lack the capacity to demonstrate causation or ascertain the direction of the observed effects. Longitudinal studies provide researchers the opportunity to examine the temporal relationship between obesity and cognitive decline, determining whether one condition precedes the other, if a reciprocal influence exists, or if there are bidirectional effects (66). Understanding this information is of utmost importance in order to clarify the fundamental mechanisms and develop therapies that are very efficient.

Longitudinal studies also enable the investigation of key variables that could potentially influence the association between obesity and cognition as time progresses. Various factors, including age, sex, genetics, lifestyle, and comorbidities, can exert differential influences on the cognitive consequences of obesity across various phases of life. Longitudinal research approaches facilitate the identification of age-specific or time-dependent patterns in the association, so offering valuable insights into the exact periods of vulnerability during which

interventions may yield the most effectiveness.

Furthermore, long-term research enhances our comprehension of the possible reversibility or stability of cognitive deficits linked to obesity. The efficacy of therapies aimed at addressing obesity, such as weight loss or lifestyle adjustments, in ameliorating cognitive decline, particularly over an extended period of time, remains uncertain. The long-term follow-up period enables the evaluation of the sustainability of cognitive improvements resulting from therapies, as well as the determination of whether cognitive decline persists despite efforts made towards weight management (67).

Long-term studies play a crucial role in providing valuable insights for the development of public health initiatives and therapeutic standards. The prevalence of obesity on a global scale is a significant and urgent issue in the realm of public health. It is critical to comprehend the enduring cognitive ramifications associated with obesity in order to formulate efficacious strategies for prevention and intervention. Policymakers and healthcare professionals depend on comprehensive and rigorous empirical data derived from extended research projects to make well-informed choices regarding the allocation of resources and the provision of patient care.

Identifying Biomarkers for Cognitive Decline in Obesity

An area of research that shows potential is the exploration of biomarkers, which are quantifiable biological markers that can offer valuable insights into the cognitive implications of obesity.

The justification for the identification of biomarkers associated with cognitive deterioration in individuals with obesity is based on the imperative of early detection and intervention. The cognitive deficits linked to obesity typically manifest in a modest manner during their early stages, and once they reach a clinically observable level, irreversible harm may have already been inflicted. Biomarkers possess the potential to function as precursory indicators of cognitive decline, so enabling prompt interventions aimed at averting or alleviating subsequent impairment (68). In addition, the use of biomarkers can aid in the process of risk stratification, allowing healthcare practitioners to discern persons with obesity who possess an elevated susceptibility to cognitive decline. Consequently, this enables them to customize their treatment and care plans to better address the specific needs of these individuals.

The complex character of both obesity and cognitive decline presents a significant hurdle in the identification of biomarkers. Obesity is distinguished by a multitude of physiological, metabolic, and inflammatory alterations, all of which have the potential to impact cognitive performance. Likewise, cognitive function is subject to the influence of several genetic, neurological, and environmental variables (69). The task at hand involves identifying precise biomarkers that consistently indicate the interplay between these intricate systems. In order to accomplish this objective, it is essential to engage in interdisciplinary research that integrates knowledge and experience from many domains such as neurology, endocrinology, immunology, and others.

Numerous biomarkers have been suggested in relation to cognitive deterioration associated with obesity. Markers of systemic inflammation, such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and IL-6, have been found to be linked with both obesity and cognitive impairment (70). Furthermore, indicators of insulin resistance, such as fasting insulin concentrations and the homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), might serve as indicators of metabolic disruptions that lead to cognitive impairments in individuals with obesity (71). Neuroimaging biomarkers, including variations in brain structure and function as evaluated by functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), have the potential to provide valuable information regarding the structural and functional changes in the brain that are linked to cognitive deterioration associated with obesity.

The identification of biomarkers for cognitive loss in obesity holds potential significance that goes beyond the realms of early detection and risk classification. Biomarkers have the potential to contribute to the advancement of targeted therapies and tailored treatment strategies. For example, if biomarkers indicate that neuroinflammation is a significant factor in the cognitive deterioration associated with obesity, potential therapies that focus on reducing inflammation, such as the use of anti-inflammatory medications or dietary adjustments, should be investigated (72). Similarly, the identification of biomarkers linked to distinct cognitive domains, such as memory or executive function, has the potential to facilitate the creation of cognitive training programs that are customized to suit the unique cognitive profiles of individuals.

Conclusion

The investigation into the influence of obesity on cognitive function is a complex undertaking that necessitates a thorough exploration of several elements that contribute to the condition, potential therapies, and the methodological obstacles encountered in research. The condition of obesity is linked to a wide range of risk factors, including disruptions in metabolic processes, persistent inflammation, impaired functioning of blood vessels, and lifestyle decisions such as lack of physical activity and unhealthy dietary habits. The complicated interplay of these components presents a challenge in isolating distinct causal pathways. The susceptibility of an individual to obesity and its cognitive implications is influenced by genetic predispositions and early-life events. When considering therapies for obesity management, it is important to recognize that lifestyle modifications, such as engaging in more physical activity and making nutritional alterations, are essential strategies. These approaches have the ability to not only address obesity but also offer cognitive advantages. Behavioral therapies, such as the implementation of cognitive-behavioral therapy, aim to target and address maladaptive eating behaviors and weight loss objectives. On the other hand, pharmaceutical and surgical interventions provide alternate approaches for managing obesity, although their effects on cognitive results differ. Nevertheless, the examination of the correlation between obesity and cognition presents significant methodological obstacles in the realm of research. These problems encompass the necessity to account for variables that may confound the results, establish a cause-and-effect link, utilize longitudinal evaluations, and traverse the intricate nuances associated with the interpretation of neuroimaging data. In addition, it is imperative for cognitive tests to exhibit sensitivity towards

cultural and educational diversities. Given the ongoing prevalence of obesity as a major public health concern, it is imperative to explore the complex relationship between various risk factors, develop effective intervention strategies, and overcome methodological challenges in order to enhance our comprehension of the cognitive consequences associated with this widespread condition.■

References

1. Vidyanti AN, Hardhantyo M, Wiratama BS, Prodjohardjono A, Hu CJ. Obesity is less frequently associated with cognitive impairment in elderly individuals: A cross-sectional study in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Nutrients 2020; 12(2):367. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12020367
2. Wadden TA, Tronieri JS, Butryn ML. Lifestyle modification approaches for the treatment of obesity in adults. Am Psychol 2020; 75(2):235-251. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000517
3. Favieri F, Forte G, Casagrande M. The executive functions in overweight and obesity: A systematic review of neuropsychological cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. Front Psychol 2019; 10:2126. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02126
4. van den Brink AC, Brouwer-Brolsma EM, Berendsen AAM, van de Rest O. The Mediterranean, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH), and Mediterranean-DASH Intervention for Neurodegenerative Delay (MIND) Diets are associated with less cognitive decline and a lower risk of Alzheimer's Disease - A review. Adv Nutr 2019; 10(6):1040-1065. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmz054
5. Di Liegro CM, Schiera G, Proia P, Di Liegro I. Physical activity and brain health. Genes (Basel) 2019; 10(9):720. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10090720
6. Institute of Medicine (US) Subcommittee on Military Weight Management. Weight Management: State of the Science and Opportunities for Military Programs. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2004. 4, Weight-Loss and Maintenance Strategies. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK221839/
7. Lindström J, Louheranta A, Mannelin M, Rastas M, Salminen V, Eriksson J, Uusitupa M, Tuomilehto J; Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study Group. The Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS): Lifestyle intervention and 3-year results on diet and physical activity. Diabetes Care 2003; 26(12):3230-3236. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.26.12.3230
8. Sindi S, Calov E, Fokkens J, Ngandu T, Soininen H, Tuomilehto J, Kivipelto M. The CAIDE Dementia Risk Score App: The development of an evidence-based mobile application to predict the risk of dementia. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 2015; 1(3):328-333. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2015.06.005
9. Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) Research Group. The Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP): Description of lifestyle intervention. Diabetes Care 2002; 25(12):2165-2171. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.25.12.2165
10. Kivipelto M, Solomon A, Ahtiluoto S, Ngandu T, Lehtisalo J, Antikainen R, Bäckman L, Hänninen T, Jula A, Laatikainen T, Lindström J, Mangialasche F, Nissinen A, Paajanen T, Pajala S, Peltonen M, Rauramaa R, Stigsdotter-Neely A, Strandberg T, Tuomilehto J, Soininen H. The Finnish Geriatric Intervention Study to Prevent Cognitive Impairment and Disability (FINGER): Study design and progress. Alzheimers Dement 2013; 9(6):657-665. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2012.09.012
11. Liu X, Morris MC, Dhana K, Ventrelle J, Johnson K, Bishop L, Hollings CS, Boulin A, Laranjo N, Stubbs BJ, Reilly X, Carey VJ, Wang Y, Furtado JD, Marcovina SM, Tangney C, Aggarwal NT, Arfanakis K, Sacks FM, Barnes LL. Mediterranean-DASH Intervention for Neurodegenerative Delay (MIND) study: Rationale, design and baseline characteristics of a randomized control trial of the MIND diet on cognitive decline. Contemp Clin Trials 2021; 102:106270. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2021.106270
12. Calcaterra V, Verduci E, Milanta C, Agostinelli M, Todisco CF, Bona F, Dolor J, La Mendola A, Tosi M, Zuccotti G. Micronutrient deficiency in children and adolescents with obesity-A narrative review. Children (Basel) 2023; 10(4):695. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/children10040695
13. Stromsnes K, Correas AG, Lehmann J, Gambini J, Olaso-Gonzalez G. Anti-inflammatory properties of diet: Role in healthy aging. Biomedicines 2021; 9(8):922. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines9080922
14. Gudden J, Arias Vasquez A, Bloemendaal M. The effects of intermittent fasting on brain and cognitive function. Nutrients 2021; 13(9):3166. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13093166
15. Sandrini L, Di Minno A, Amadio P, Ieraci A, Tremoli E, Barbieri SS. Association between obesity and circulating brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) levels: Systematic review of literature and meta-analysis. Int J Mol Sci 2018; 19(8):2281. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19082281
16. Arida RM, Teixeira-Machado L. The contribution of physical exercise to brain resilience. Front Behav Neurosci 2021; 14:626769. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2020.626769
17. Keawtep P, Wichayanrat W, Boripuntakul S, Chattipakorn SC, Sungkarat S. Cognitive benefits of

physical exercise, physical-cognitive training, and technology-based intervention in obese individuals with and without postmenopausal condition: A narrative review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022; 19(20):13364. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192013364

18. Stillman CM, Weinstein AM, Marsland AL, Gianaros PJ, Erickson KI. Body-brain connections: The effects of obesity and behavioral interventions on neurocognitive aging. Front Aging Neurosci 2017; 9:115. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2017.00115
19. Shao X, Tan LH, He L. Physical activity and exercise alter cognitive abilities, and brain structure and activity in obese children. Front Neurosci 2022; 16:1019129. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2022.1019129
20. Davis CL, Tomporowski PD, McDowell JE, Austin BP, Miller PH, Yanasak NE, Allison JD, Naglieri JA. Exercise improves executive function and achievement and alters brain activation in overweight children: A randomized, controlled trial. Health Psychol 2011; 30(1):91-98. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021766
21. Liu-Ambrose T, Nagamatsu LS, Graf P, Beattie BL, Ashe MC, Handy TC. Resistance training and executive functions: A 12-month randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med 2010; 170(2):170-178. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2009.494
22. Villareal DT, Aguirre L, Gurney AB, Waters DL, Sinacore DR, Colombo E, Armamento-Villareal R, Qualls C. Aerobic or resistance exercise, or both, in dieting obese older adults. N Engl J Med 2017; 376(20):1943-1955. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1616338
23. Biobaku F, Ghanim H, Monte SV, Caruana JA, Dandona P. Bariatric surgery: Remission of inflammation, cardiometabolic benefits, and common adverse effects. J Endocr Soc 2020; 4(9):bvaa049. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1210/jendso/bvaa049
24. Ionut V, Burch M, Youdim A, Bergman RN. Gastrointestinal hormones and bariatric surgery-induced weight loss. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2013; 21(6):1093-1103. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.20364
25. Alosco ML, Galioto R, Spitznagel MB, Strain G, Devlin M, Cohen R, Crosby RD, Mitchell JE, Gunstad J. Cognitive function after bariatric surgery: evidence for improvement 3 years after surgery. Am J Surg 2014; 207(6):870-876. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2013.05.018
26. Miller LA, Crosby RD, Galioto R, Strain G, Devlin MJ, Wing R, Cohen RA, Paul RH, Mitchell JE, Gunstad J. Bariatric surgery patients exhibit improved memory function 12 months postoperatively. Obes Surg 2013; 23(10):1527-1535. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11695-013-0970-7
27. Manning S, Pucci A, Batterham RL. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: Effects on feeding behavior and underlying mechanisms. J Clin Invest. 2015; 125(3):939-948. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI76305
28. Obregon D, Parker-Athill EC, Tan J, Murphy T. Psychotropic effects of antimicrobials and immune modulation by psychotropics: Implications for neuroimmune disorders. Neuropsychiatry (London) 2012; 2(4):331-343. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2217/npy.12.41
29. Slater D, Kunnathil S, McBride J, Koppala R. Pharmacology of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs and opioids. Semin Intervent Radiol 2010; 27(4):400-411. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0030-1267855
30. Valtonen J, Karrasch M. Polypharmacy-induced cognitive dysfunction and discontinuation of psychotropic medication: A neuropsychological case report. Ther Adv Psychopharmacol 2020; 10:2045125320905734. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/2045125320905734
31. Lee HW, Kim S, Jo Y, Kim Y, Ye BS, Yu YM. Neuroprotective effect of angiotensin II receptor blockers on the risk of incident Alzheimer's disease: A nationwide population-based cohort study. Front Aging Neurosci 2023; 15:1137197. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2023.1137197
32. Du MR, Gao QY, Liu CL, Bai LY, Li T, Wei FL. Exploring the pharmacological potential of metformin for neurodegenerative diseases. Front Aging Neurosci 2022; 14:838173. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2022.838173
33. Schultz BG, Patten DK, Berlau DJ. The role of statins in both cognitive impairment and protection against dementia: A tale of two mechanisms. Transl Neurodegener 2018; 7:5. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40035-018-0110-3
34. Ingersoll KS, Cohen J. The impact of medication regimen factors on adherence to chronic treatment: A review of literature. J Behav Med 2008; 31(3):213-224. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-007-9147-y
35. Bahar-Fuchs A, Martyr A, Goh AM, Sabates J, Clare L. Cognitive training for people with mild to moderate dementia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2019; 3(3):CD013069. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD013069.pub2
36. Galindo Muñoz JS, Morillas-Ruiz JM, Gómez Gallego M, Díaz Soler I, Barberá Ortega MDC, Martínez CM, Hernández Morante JJ. Cognitive training therapy improves the effect of hypocaloric treatment on subjects with overweight/obesity: A randomised clinical trial. Nutrients 2019; 11(4):925. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11040925
37. Szabo-Reed AN, Donnelly JE. Cognitive training: Associations and implications for weight management and translational research. Transl J Am Coll Sports Med 2021; 6(2):e000151. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1249/tjx.0000000000000151
38. Wilfley DE, Kolko RP, Kass AE. Cognitive-behavioral therapy for weight management and eating disorders in children and adolescents. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am 2011; 20(2):271-285. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chc.2011.01.002
39. Olateju IV, Ogwu D, Owolabi MO, Azode U, Osula F, Okeke R, Akabalu I. Role of Behavioral Interventions in the Management of Obesity. Cureus 2021; 13(9):e18080. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.18080
40. Smith JD, Fu E, Kobayashi MA. Prevention and

management of childhood obesity and its psychological and health comorbidities. Annu Rev Clin Psychol 2020; 16:351-378. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-100219-060201

41. Hegedus EJ, Moody J. Clinimetrics corner: The many faces of selection bias. J Man Manip Ther 2010; 18(2):69-73. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1179/106698110X12640740712699
42. Pannucci CJ, Wilkins EG. Identifying and avoiding bias in research. Plast Reconstr Surg 2010; 126(2):619-625. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/PRS.0b013e3181de24bc
43. Palinkas LA, Horwitz SM, Green CA, Wisdom JP, Duan N, Hoagwood K. Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research. Adm Policy Ment Health 2015; 42(5):533-544. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-013-0528-y
44. Shringarpure S, Xing EP. Effects of sample selection bias on the accuracy of population structure and ancestry inference. G3 (Bethesda) 2014; 4(5):901-911. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.113.007633
45. Delaney JAC, Seeger JD. Sensitivity Analysis. In: Velentgas P, Dreyer NA, Nourjah P, et al., editors. Developing a Protocol for Observational Comparative Effectiveness Research: A User's Guide. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2013 Jan. Chapter 11. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK126178/
46. Hajat A, MacLehose RF, Rosofsky A, Walker KD, Clougherty JE. Confounding by socioeconomic status in epidemiological studies of air pollution and health: Challenges and opportunities. Environ Health Perspect 2021; 129(6):65001. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP7980
47. Lee A, Cardel M, Donahoo WT. Social and Environmental Factors Influencing Obesity. [Updated 2019 Oct 12]. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Blackman MR, et al., editors. Endotext [Internet]. South Dartmouth (MA): MDText.com, Inc.; 2000. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK278977/
48. Anaika G, Regalla SS, Reddy BM, Ganguly E, Sharma PK. Association of obesity with cognitive impairment and depression among oldest old population having frailty syndrome. J Frailty Sarcopenia Falls 2022; 7(4):207-221. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22540/JFSF-07-207
49. Caruana EJ, Roman M, Hernández-Sánchez J, Solli P. Longitudinal studies. J Thorac Dis 2015; 7(11):E537-E540. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.10.63
50. Setia MS. Methodology series module 3: Cross-sectional studies. Indian J Dermatol 2016; 61(3):261-264. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5154.182410
51. Puhl RM, Heuer CA. Obesity stigma: Important considerations for public health. Am J Public Health 2010; 100(6):1019-1028. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2009.159491
52. Fruh SM. Obesity: Risk factors, complications, and strategies for sustainable long-term weight management. J Am Assoc Nurse Pract 2017; 29(S1):S3-S14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/2327-6924.12510
53. Millum J, Campbell M, Luna F, Malekzadeh A, Karim QA. Ethical challenges in global health-related stigma research. BMC Med 2019; 17(1):84. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1317-6
54. Nair L, Adetayo OA. Cultural competence and ethnic diversity in healthcare. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2019; 7(5):e2219. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/GOX.0000000000002219
55. Barrow JM, Brannan GD, Khandhar PB. Research Ethics. [Updated 2022 Sep 18]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 Jan-. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK459281/
56. Kelley CP, Sbrocco G, Sbrocco T. Behavioral modification for the management of obesity. Prim Care 2016; 43(1):159-175, x. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pop.2015.10.004
57. Peek L, Guikema S. Interdisciplinary theory, methods, and approaches for hazards and disaster research: An introduction to the special issue. Risk Anal 2021; 41(7):1047-1058. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.13777
58. Ashikali EM, Ludwig C, Mastromauro L, Périvier S, Tholomier A, Ionita I, Graf C, Busnel C. Intrinsic capacities, functional ability, physiological systems, and caregiver support: A targeted synthesis of effective interventions and international recommendations for older adults. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2023; 20(5):4382. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20054382
59. Schmitt LO, Gaspar JM. Obesity-induced brain neuroinflammatory and mitochondrial changes. Metabolites 2023; 13(1):86. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo13010086
60. Noor J, Chaudhry A, Batool S, Noor R, Fatima G. Exploring the impact of the gut microbiome on obesity and weight loss: A review article. Cureus 2023; 15(6):e40948. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.40948
61. Prehn K, Profitlich T, Rangus I, Heßler S, Witte AV, Grittner U, Ordemann J, Flöel A. Bariatric surgery and brain health-A longitudinal observational study investigating the effect of surgery on cognitive function and gray matter volume. Nutrients 2020; 12(1):127. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12010127
62. Li N, Yolton K, Lanphear BP, Chen A, Kalkwarf HJ, Braun JM. Impact of early-life weight status on cognitive abilities in children. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2018; 26(6):1088-1095. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.22192
63. Severin R, Sabbahi A, Mahmoud AM, Arena R, Phillips SA. Precision medicine in weight loss and healthy living. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2019; 62(1):15-20. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2018.12.012
64. Johnson KB, Wei WQ, Weeraratne D, Frisse ME, Misulis K, Rhee K, Zhao J, Snowdon JL. Precision medicine, AI, and the future of personalized health care. Clin Transl Sci 2021; 14(1):86-93. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/cts.12884

65. Lövdén M, Fratiglioni L, Glymour MM, Lindenberger U, Tucker-Drob EM. Education and cognitive functioning across the life span. Psychol Sci Public Interest 2020; 21(1):6-41. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100620920576

66. Gunstad J, Lhotsky A, Wendell CR, Ferrucci L, Zonderman AB. Longitudinal examination of obesity and cognitive function: results from the Baltimore longitudinal study of aging. Neuroepidemiology 2010; 34(4):222-229. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1159/000297742

67. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Health and Medicine Division; Board on Health Sciences Policy; Committee on Preventing Dementia and Cognitive Impairment; Downey A, Stroud C, Landis S, et al., editors. Preventing Cognitive Decline and Dementia: A Way Forward. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2017 Jun 22. 4, PRIORITIES FOR FUTURE RESEARCH. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK453393/

68. Kaštelan S, Braš M, Pjevač N, Bakija I, Tomić Z, Pjevač Keleminić N, Gverović Antunica A. Tear biomarkers and Alzheimer's Disease. Int J Mol Sci 2023; 24(17):13429. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241713429

69. Johnson W, Deary IJ, McGue M, Christensen K. Genetic and environmental links between cognitive and physical functions in old age. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2009; 64(1):65-72. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbn033

70. Singh-Manoux A, Dugravot A, Brunner E, Kumari M, Shipley M, Elbaz A, Kivimaki M. Interleukin-6 and C-reactive protein as predictors of cognitive decline in late midlife. Neurology 2014; 83(6):486-493. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000000665

71. Gutch M, Kumar S, Razi SM, Gupta KK, Gupta A. Assessment of insulin sensitivity/resistance. Indian J Endocrinol Metab 2015; 19(1):160-164. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8210.146874

72. Salas-Venegas V, Flores-Torres RP, Rodríguez-Cortés YM, Rodríguez-Retana D, Ramírez-Carreto RJ, Concepción-Carrillo LE, Pérez-Flores LJ, Alarcón-Aguilar A, López-Díazguerrero NE, Gómez-González B, Chavarría A, Konigsberg M. The obese brain: Mechanisms of systemic and local inflammation, and interventions to reverse the cognitive deficit. Front Integr Neurosci 2022; 16:798995. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2022.798995

Received: June 10, 2023 | Revised: August 12, 2023 | Accepted: August 15, 2023